

RENAISSANCE

Peer-Reviewed Research Support for Renaissance Tools

As of June 2021

Practice Products

Accelerated Reader®

- Gorard, S., Siddiqui, N., & See, B. H. (2017). What works and what fails? Evidence from seven popular literacy 'catch-up' schemes for the transition to secondary school in England. *Research Papers in Education*, 32(5), 626–648. Accepted manuscript <http://dro.dur.ac.uk/19359/1/19359.pdf>
- Hansen, L. E., Collins, P., & Warschauer, M. (2009). Reading management programs: A review of the research. *Journal of Literacy and Technology*, 10(3), 55–80. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.491.3652&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Holmes, C. T., Brown, C. L., & Algozzine, B. (2006). Promoting academic success for all students. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 10(3), 141–147.
- Husman, J., Brem, S., & Duggan, M. A. (2005). Student goal orientation and formative assessment. *Academic Exchange Quarterly*, 9(3), 355–359. <http://www.rapidintellect.com/AEQweb/5oct304715.htm>
- Johnson, R. A., & Howard, C. A. (2003). The effects of the Accelerated Reader program on the reading comprehension of pupils in grades three, four, and five. *The Reading Matrix*, 3(3), 87–96. http://www.readingmatrix.com/articles/johnson_howard/article.pdf
- McGlinn, J., & Parrish, A. (2002). Accelerating ESL students' reading progress with Accelerated Reader. *Reading Horizons*, 42(3), 175–189. http://scholarworks.wmich.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1186&context=reading_horizons
- Nunnery, J. A., & Ross, S. M. (2007). The effects of the School Renaissance program on student achievement in reading and mathematics. *Research in the Schools*, 14(1), 40–59. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/211015676>

- Nunnery, J. A., Ross, S. M., & McDonald, A. (2006). A randomized experimental evaluation of the impact of Accelerated Reader/Reading Renaissance implementation on reading achievement in grades 3 to 6. *Journal of Education for Students Placed At Risk*, 11(1), 1–18.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/233069439_A_Randomized_Experimental_Evaluation_of_the_Impact_of_Accelerated_ReaderReading_Renaissance_Implementation_on_Reading_Achievement_in_Grades_3_to_6
- Peak, J. P., & Dewalt, M. W. (1994). Reading achievement: Effects of computerized reading management and enrichment. *ERS Spectrum*, 12(1), 31–35.
- Rodriguez, S. (2007). The Accelerated Reader program's relationship to student achievement on the English-Language Arts California Standards Test. *The Reading Matrix*, 7(3), 191–205.
<http://www.readingmatrix.com/articles/rodriguez/article.pdf>
- Shannon, L. C., Styers, M. K., Wilkerson, S. B., & Peery, E. (2015). Computer-assisted learning in elementary reading: A randomized control trial. *Computers in the Schools*, 32(1), 20–34.
- Siddiqui, N., Gorard, S., & See, B. H. (2016). Accelerated Reader as a literacy catch-up intervention during primary to secondary school transition phase. *Educational Review*, 68(2), 139–154. Accepted manuscript <http://dro.dur.ac.uk/16393/1/16393.pdf>
- Topping, K. J. (2018). Implementation fidelity and pupil achievement in book reading: Variation between regions, local authorities and schools. *Research Papers in Education*, 33(5), 620–641. Accepted manuscript https://discovery.dundee.ac.uk/ws/files/16632631/AR_STAR_Variation_paper.pdf
- Topping, K. J. (2018). Implementation fidelity in computerised assessment of book reading. *Computers & Education*, 116, 176–190. Accepted manuscript https://discovery.dundee.ac.uk/ws/files/18555594/AR_STAR_paper_6.pdf
- Topping, K. J. (2015). Fiction and non-fiction reading and comprehension in preferred books. *Reading Psychology*, 36(4), 350–387.
- Topping, K. J., & Fisher, A. M. (2003). Computerised formative assessment of reading comprehension: Field trials in the U.K. *Journal of Research in Reading*, 26(3), 267–279. <http://www.renlearn.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2010/12/Computerised%20formative%20assessment%20of%20reading%20comprehension.pdf>
- Topping, K. J., & Paul, T. (1999). Computer-assisted assessment of practice at reading: A large scale survey using Accelerated Reader data. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 15(3), 213–231.
- Topping, K. J., Samuels, S. J., & Paul, T. (2008). Independent reading: The relationship of challenge, non-fiction and gender to achievement. *British Educational Research Journal*, 34(4), 505–524.
- Topping, K. J., Samuels, S. J., & Paul, T. (2007). Computerized assessment of independent reading: Effects of implementation quality on achievement gain. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 18(2), 191–208.

- Topping, K. J., Samuels, S. J., & Paul, T. (2007). Does practice make perfect? Independent reading quantity, quality and student achievement. *Learning and Instruction, 17*(3), 253–264.
<http://d20uo2axdbh83k.cloudfront.net/20140124/97bcf2bae9f1fc7838658cfa60544c83.pdf>
- Topping, K. J., & Sanders, W. L. (2000). Teacher effectiveness and computer assessment of reading: Relating value-added and learning information systems data. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 11*(3), 305–337.
- Vollands, S. R., Topping, K. J., & Evans, H. M. (1999). Computerized self-assessment of reading comprehension with the Accelerated Reader: Action research. *Reading and Writing Quarterly, 15*(3), 197–211. <https://dianedalenberg.files.wordpress.com/2012/05/computerized-self-ass-of-rdg-w-ar.pdf>
- Yeh, S. S. (2010). The cost-effectiveness of 22 approaches for raising student achievement. *Journal of Education Finance, 36*(1), 38–75.
http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=stuart_yeh
- Yeh, S. S. (2010). The cost-effectiveness of NBPTS teacher certification. *Evaluation Review, 34*(3), 220–241.
http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1010&context=stuart_yeh
- Yeh, S. S. (2010). Understanding and addressing the achievement gap through individualized instruction and formative assessment. *Assessment in Education, 17*(2), 169–182.
- Yeh, S. S. (2009). Class size reduction or rapid formative assessment?: A comparison of cost-effectiveness. *Educational Research Review, 4*(1), 7–15.
- Yeh, S. S. (2009). Shifting the bell curve: The benefits and costs of raising student achievement. *Evaluation and Program Planning, 32*(1), 74–82.
http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1016&context=stuart_yeh
- Yeh, S. S. (2009). The cost-effectiveness of raising teacher quality. *Educational Research Review, 4*(3), 220–232.
- Yeh, S. S., & Ritter, J. (2009). The cost-effectiveness of replacing the bottom quartile of novice teachers through value-added teacher assessment. *Journal of Education Finance, 34*(4), 426–451.
http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1006&context=stuart_yeh
- Yeh, S. S. (2008). The cost-effectiveness of Comprehensive School Reform and rapid assessment. *Education Policy Analysis Archives, 16*(13). <http://epaa.asu.edu/ojs/article/viewFile/38/164>
- Yeh, S. S. (2007). The cost-effectiveness of five policies for improving student achievement. *American Journal of Evaluation, 28*(4), 416–436.
http://works.bepress.com/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1008&context=stuart_yeh

Alfageh, D., & Demir, F. (2018). The usability evaluation of a digital book application for elementary school students. *International Journal of Arts Humanities and Social Sciences*, 3(18), 27–33.
<http://www.ijahss.com/Paper/03082018/1079495654.pdf>

Ortlieb, E., Sargent, S., & Moreland, M. (2014). Evaluating the efficacy of using a digital reading environment to improve reading comprehension within a reading clinic. *Reading Psychology*, 35(5), 397–421.
<https://doc.renlearn.com/KMNet/R63036.pdf>

Assessment Products

myIGDIs™ by Renaissance®

Early Literacy

Albano, A. D., Cai, L., Lease, E. M., & McConnell, S. R. (2019). Computerized adaptive testing in early education: Exploring the impact of item position effects on ability estimation. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 56(2), 437–451. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jedm.12215>

Bradfield, T. A., Besner, A. C., Wackerle-Hollman, A. K., Albano, A. D., Rodriguez, M. C., & McConnell, S. R. (2014). Redefining individual growth and development indicators: Oral language. *Assessment for Effective Intervention*, 39(4), 233–244.

Carta, J. J., Greenwood, C. R., Atwater, J., McConnell, S. R., Goldstein, H., & Kaminski, R. A. (2014). Identifying preschool children for higher tiers of language and early literacy instruction within a response to intervention framework. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 36(4), 281–291.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275243333_Identifying_Preschool_Children_for_Higher_Tiers_of_Language_and_Early_Literacy_Instruction_Within_a_Response_to_Intervention_Framework

Greenwood, C. R., Carta, J. J., & McConnell, S. (2011). Advances in measurement for universal screening and individual progress monitoring of young children. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 33(4), 254–267.
https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Judith_Carta/publication/254114124_Advances_in_Measurement_for_Universal_Screening_and_Individual_Progress_Monitoring_of_Young_Children/links/543d238b0cf2c432f7425337.pdf

Greenwood, C. R., Carta, J. J., Atwater, J., Goldstein, H., Kaminski, R., & McConnell, S. R. (2012). Is a Response to Intervention (RTI) approach to preschool language and early literacy instruction needed? *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 33, 48–64.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4041200/>

Greenwood, C. R., Carta, J. J., Goldstein, H., Kaminski, R. A., McConnell, S. R., & Atwater, J. (2015). The Center for Response to Intervention in Early Childhood: Developing evidence-based tools for a multi-tier approach to preschool language and early literacy instruction. *Journal of Early Intervention*, 36(4), 246–262. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1690433103>

- Greenwood, C. R., Carta, J. J., & McConnell, S. (2011). Advances in measurement for universal screening and individual progress monitoring of young children. *Journal of Early Intervention, 33*(4), 254–267. <http://www.crtiec.org/aboutcrtiec/documents/Greenwoodetal2011AdvancesinMeasurement.pdf>
- Kincaid, A., McConnell, S. R., & Wackerle-Hollman, A. K. (2020). Assessing early literacy growth in preschoolers using Individual Growth and Development Indicators. *Assessment for Effective Intervention, 45*(3), 173–183. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327754529_Assessing_Early_Literacy_Growth_in_Preschoolers_Using_Individual_Growth_and_Development_Indicators
- McConnell, S., & Wackerle-Hollman, A. (2016). Can we measure the transition to reading? General outcome measures and early literacy development from preschool to early elementary grades. *AERA Open, 2*(3), 233285841665375. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2332858416653756>
- McConnell, S. R., Wackerle-Hollman, A. K., Roloff, T. A. B., & Rodriguez, M. (2015). Designing a measurement framework for Response to Intervention in early childhood programs. *Journal of Early Intervention, 36*(4), 263–280. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275243437_Designing_a_Measurement_Framework_for_Response_to_Intervention_in_Early_Childhood_Programs
- Missall, K. N., Carta, J. J., McConnell, S. R., Walker, D., & Greenwood, C. R. (2008). Using individual growth and development indicators to measure early language and literacy. *Infants & Young Children, 21*(3), 241–253. https://www.myigdis.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/IYC_UsingIndividual.pdf
- Roseth, C. J., Missall, K. N., & McConnell, S. R. (2012). Early literacy individual growth and development indicators (EL-IGDIs): Growth trajectories using a large, internet-based sample. *Journal of School Psychology, 50*(4), 483–501.
- Wackerle-Hollman, A. K., Rodriguez, M. I., Bradfield, T. A., Rodriguez, M. C., & McConnell, S. R. (2015). Development of early measures of comprehension: Innovation in Individual Growth and Development Indicators. *Assessment for Effective Intervention, 40*(2), 81–95. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Scott_McConnell/publication/273289516_Development_of_Early_Measures_of_Comprehension/links/552bdb460cf21acb091e8e19/Development-of-Early-Measures-of-Comprehension.pdf
- Wackerle-Hollman, A. K., Schmitt, B. A., Bradfield, T. A., Rodriguez, M. C., & McConnell, S. R. (2015). Redefining individual growth and development indicators: Phonological awareness. *Journal of Learning Disabilities, 48*(5), 495–510. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/258528339_Redefining_Individual_Growth_and_Development_Indicators

Early Numeracy

- Floyd, R. G., Hojnoski, R., & Key, J. (2006). Preliminary evidence of the technical adequacy of the preschool numeracy indicators. *School Psychology Review, 35*(4), 627–644. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/219655159>

Hojnoski, R. L., Silbergitt, B., & Floyd, R. G. (2009). Sensitivity to growth over time of the preschool numeracy indicators with a sample of preschoolers in Head Start. *School Psychology Review, 38*(3), 402–418.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/234630398_Sensitivity_to_Growth_Over_Time_of_the_Preschool_Numeracy_Indicators_With_a_Sample_of_Preschoolers_in_Head_Start

Español

Durán, L. K., Wackerle-Hollman, A. K., Kohlmeier, T. L., Brunner, S. K., Palma, J., & Callard, C. H. (2019). Individual Growth and Development Indicators-Español: Innovation in the development of Spanish oral language general outcome measures. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly, 48*, 155–172.

Wackerle-Hollman, A., Durán, L., Brunner, S., Palma, J., Kohlmeier, T., & Rodriguez, M. C. (2019). Developing a measure of Spanish phonological awareness for preschool age children: Spanish Individual Growth and Development Indicators. *Educational Assessment, 24*(1), 33–56.

Star Early Literacy®

Clemens, N. H., Hagan-Burke, S., Luo, W., Cerda, C., Blakely, A., Frosch, J., Gamez, B., & Jones, M. (2015). The predictive validity of a computer-adaptive assessment of kindergarten and first-grade reading skills. *School Psychology Review, 44*(1), 76–97.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/275040844_The_Predictive_Validity_of_a_Computer-Adaptive_Assessment_of_Kindergarten_and_First-Grade_Reading_Skills

Clemens, N. H., Hsiao, Y. Y., Simmons, L. E., Kwok, O. M., Greene, E. A., Soohoo, M. M., Henri, M. A., Luo, W., Prickett, C., Rivas, B., & Al Otaiba, S. (2019). Predictive validity of kindergarten progress monitoring measures across the school year: Application of dominance analysis. *Assessment for Effective Intervention, 44*(4), 241–255.

McBride, J. R., Ysseldyke, J., Milone, M., & Stickney, E. (2010). Technical adequacy and cost benefit of four measures of early literacy. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology, 25*(2), 189–204.

[http://www.academia.edu/download/33609918/280_McBride_Ysseldyke_Milone_Stickney_\(2010\)_journal_article.pdf](http://www.academia.edu/download/33609918/280_McBride_Ysseldyke_Milone_Stickney_(2010)_journal_article.pdf)

Star Math®

Johnson, K. N., Thompson, K. L., & Farmer, R. L. (2020). Determining growth sensitivity of Star Math with a latent growth curve model. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology, 35*(3), 197–209.

Nelson, P. M., Van Norman, E. R., Klingbeil, D. A., & Parker, D. C. (2017). Progress monitoring with computer adaptive assessments: The impact of data collection schedule on growth estimates. *Psychology in the Schools, 54*(5), 463–471.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/315327196_Progress_Monitoring_with_Computer_Adaptive_Assessments_The_Impact_of_Data_Collection_Schedule_on_Growth_Estimates

Shapiro, E. S., & Gebhardt, S. N. (2012). Comparing-computer adaptive and curriculum-based measurement methods of assessment. *School Psychology Review, 41*(3), 295–305.

<https://search.proquest.com/docview/1197769261>

Shapiro, E. S., Dennis, M. S., & Fu, Q. (2015). Comparing computer adaptive and curriculum-based measures of math in progress monitoring. *School Psychology Quarterly, 30*(4), 470–487.

Star Reading®

Algozzine, B., Wang, C., & Boukhtiarov, A. (2011). A comparison of progress monitoring scores and end-of-grade achievement. *New Waves-Educational Research & Development, 14*(1), 3–21.

http://www.viethconsulting.com/members/publication/new_waves_article.php?aid=19290171

Bulut, O., & Cormier, D. C. (2018). Validity evidence for progress monitoring with Star Reading: Slope estimates, administration frequency, and number of data points. *Frontiers in Education, 3*.

<https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2018.00068>

Keller-Margulis, M., McQuillin, S. D., Castañeda, J. J., Ochs, S., & Jones, J. H. (2018). Identifying students at risk: An examination of computer-adaptive measures and latent class growth analysis. *Journal of Applied School Psychology, 34*(1), 18–35.

Ochs, S., Keller-Margulis, M.A., McQuillin, S., & Jones, J. (2016). The validity and diagnostic accuracy of a computer adaptive test of reading. *Research and Practice in the Schools, 4*(1), 29–41.

<https://tasp.memberclicks.net/assets/docs/tasp-journal/vol%204%20issue%201%20complete%20issue.pdf>

Ochs, S., Keller-Margulis, M. A., Santi, K. L., & Jones, J. H. (2020). Long-term validity and diagnostic accuracy of a reading computer-adaptive test. *Assessment for Effective Intervention, 45*(3), 210–225.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/330989228_Long-term_validity_and_diagnostic_accuracy_of_a_reading_computer-adaptive_test

Wyse, A. E., & McBride, J. R. (2021). A framework for measuring the amount of adaptation of Rasch-based computerized adaptive tests. *Journal of Educational Measurement, 58*(1), 83–103.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jedm.12267>

Multiple Assessment Products

Star Early Literacy®, Star Math®, and Star Reading®

Haas, L. B., Stickney, E. M., & Ysseldyke, J. (2016). Using growth norms to set instructional goals for struggling students. *Journal of Applied School Psychology, 32*(1), 82–99.

Li, Y., Marion, S., Perie, M., & Gong, B. (2010). An approach for evaluating the technical quality of interim assessments. *Peabody Journal of Education: Issues of Leadership, Policy, and Organizations, 85*(2), 163–185.

<http://qualityrubrics.pbworks.com/w/file/fetch/50323744/Approach%20for%20Evaluating%20Technical%20Quality%20of%20Interim%20Assessments.pdf>

Star Math® and Star Reading®

Lagerquist, B. (2016). Making sense of Smarter Balanced Assessment results for district staff: Summary of the December 2015 WERA Pre-Conference. *The WERA Educational Journal*, 8(2), 3–13. <https://www.wera-web.org/assets/docs/WEJ-May-2016-final.pdf#page=3>

Monpas-Huber, J. B. (2015). Just pressing buttons? Validity evidence for the STAR and Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments. *The WERA Educational Journal*, 8(1), 39–44. <https://www.wera-web.org/assets/docs/WEJ-November-2015-final.pdf#page=39>

Van Norman, E. R., & Ysseldyke, J. E. (2020). The impact of data collection frequency and trend estimation method on the consistency of growth estimates from two computer-adaptive tests. *School Psychology Review*, 49(1), 20–30.

Ysseldyke, J., Scerra, C., Stickney, E., Beckler, A., Dituri, J., & Ellis, K. (2017). Academic growth expectations for students with emotional and behavior disorders. *Psychology in the Schools*, 54(8), 792–807.

Multiple Renaissance Products

Focus Skills™, Freckle® Math, myON Reader™, Star Math®, and Star Reading®

Wyse, A. E., Stickney, E. M., Butz, D., Beckler, A., & Close, C. N. (2020). The potential impact of COVID-19 on student learning and how schools can respond. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 39(3), 60–64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emip.12357>

To request a peer-reviewed journal article from Renaissance or information about how to purchase articles from the publishers, please email research@renaissance.com